

14th Day of October, 2014

FROM OFFICE OF:

Derek C. Syphrett, Esq.

Attorney / Attorney-in-fact for the above and below legal persons named & recognized as

"Derek Syphrett":

Citizen of New Jersey

Citizen of the United States of America

Permanently Disabled Person, pursuant the ADA

Witness-of-Fact

Real-Party-of-Interest

The Sovereign-Power, *in parte / in toto, in iure civili, et in carne*

Naturalis Homo in Carne

Legally Competent Person, Pursuant: the findings and Precedential

Law in Kyle v. Verona Green Acres, Inc., and its progeny in New Jersey Courts

Address of Office:

252 Fountain Ln,

Lawrence Township, NJ 08648

VIA U.S. POSTAL MAIL / FEDEX

TO:

Michelle M. Smith, Clerk of the Court

Hon. Chief Justice Rabner, (in his administrative capacities, pursuant Court Rule

1:33 et, seq.)

Supreme Court of New Jersey

Superior Court of New Jersey

25 Market Street, Trenton NJ 08609

RE: (I) Writ of Mandamus; (II) Petition for Redress of Grievance (pursuant New Jersey State Constitution, 1947); (III) The "Interests of Justice"; (IV) Demand for "Subject" Agencies, and agents to abide THE LAW with regard to Mr. Syphrett's legal affairs, and for responsive documents providing a lawful authority for his incarceration and the three (2) bail orders propounded upon him, after his bail was lawfully posted on 8/18/2013; (V) other demands as specified in these legal papers; (vi) common-law right of access request for facts supporting the transfer orders in prosecutors case #13-1502, and for other information requested herein (pursuant court rule 1:38, et seq.)

Dear Clerk of the Court, Supreme Court, and Hon. Chief Justice Stuart Rabner:

PLEASE FIND HEREIN ENCLOSED THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS:

1. Letter to the Clerk of the Court, S. Ct. of New Jersey, and Chief Justice
2. Moving Papers in support of "Writ of Mandamus", Petition for Redress of a Grievance, Common-Law Right of Access Request. (Note: it is demanded that these moving papers be liberally construed as an in lieu of writ & writ, and that this court accept the operable portions of the common-law *in toto*, or not at all, and not ever again.)

1 of 4 pages

3. NOTICE OF MOTION
4. PROOF OF SERVICE

The enclosed moving papers, writ, "in lieu of writ, petition for redress, and other pleadings (to be liberally construed) contained herein, are submitted to the Hon. Chief Justice Rabner, AND the Supreme Court of New Jersey, AND the Superior Court of New Jersey, in the interests of justice as described herein. FURTHER: This court must be so moved to allow the petitioner to compel government agencies, agents, and named parties herein, to right past wrongs, which to date such agencies have refused to do, specifically: Please be advised that several of the named agents or agencies have for many months refused to act according to their lawful mandate and/or statutory requirements. THE PLEADED (HEREIN) "WRONGS" MUST BE "RIGHTED", BY THE POWER OF THE PEOPLE AS DELEGATED TO THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY.

These papers are submitted in forma pauperis, by an certifiably indigent citizen of New Jersey. To the extent the court requires further proofs of indigent status, please take judicial notice of the case files in Prosecutors Case Number: 13-2502, where indigent status was judicially recognized and standby counsel was provided to the indigent Defendant Mr. Syphrett. Further please take notice of the the September 2014 filings in Docket Number: FV-03-1154-14, wherein the Defendant filed proofs of indigency. Further please note the Defendants monthly income is currently less than \$700 and his bank account has less than \$300 dollars in it at present. AS SUCH PLEASE ACCEPT THESE LEGAL PAPERS IN FORMA PAUPERIS.

COMPLETION OF SERVICE INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE CLERK OF THE COURT:

1. PLEASE PROVIDE ONE COPY TO THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUSTICE STUART RABNER, IN HIS ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITIES, PURSUANT COURT RULE 1:33, et, seq.
2. PLEASE PROVIDE THE SUPREME COURT JUSTICES AND ASSOCIATE JUSTICES WITH ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THESE PAPERS, SHOULD THE COURT RULES REQUIRE SUCH COPIES BE PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION OF THE COURT.
3. PLEASE STAMP ALL ORIGINALS / COPIES RECEIVED BY THE COURT.
4. PLEASE STAMP ONE COPY RECEIVED AND RETURN IT TO Derek Syphrett, AND/OR RETAIN IT FOR HIS PICK-UP AT THE CLERKS OFFICE. IF THE COURT WILL NOT RETURN A COPY TO THE PETITIONER Derek Syphrett at the courts costs.

5. PLEASE REPLY IN WRITING TO CONFIRM THE RECEIPT OF THESE MOVING PAPERS, AND TO DESCRIBE THE STATUS OF THE SAME WITH REGARD TO ANY FUTURE SCHEDULING OF THIS MATTER, OR AN ADMINISTRATIVE RESOLUTION IN THE INTERESTS OF JUSTICE.

Further Please take note of the petitioners demand that these papers be liberally construed pursuant the binding common-law of Haines v. Kerner, United States Supreme Court (1972), as such a liberal construction is a mandatory operations of THE LAW OF THIS JURISDICTION.

I hereby invoke and assert:
all rights and privileges applicable to this legal matter nunc pro tunc, past, present and future, associated with all the legal capacities I have declared to appear before the court in, even those not explicitly included immediately above.

I demand the court construe all of my legal capacities and legal persons in the most liberal manner cognizable under-~~THE-LAW~~ of this jurisdiction, with the sole explicit exception :

1. That I demand the court to cease and desist its relentless attempts to address me / my legal concerns as: "DEREK SYPHRETT" (all caps), I do not represent such entities;; nor do I
2. Accept or consent to accept liability for any legal person referred to by this court as "DEREK SYPHRETT" behalf without a legal foundation offered by a party to this action.
3. I assert this out of an abundance of caution and with an abundance of discretion with regard to the confusion created by past references within this docket to the legal person "DEREK SYPHRETT".

Further I EXPLICITLY: disavow any association or suggestion by any party that I am a "sovereign citizen" FOR THE RECORD:

1. I am not a so-called "Sovereign Citizen".
2. I am explicitly and expressly an ordinary citizen, invoking my rights, privileges, and authority pursuant the law of this jurisdiction, applicable to similiary situated legal "persons", nothing more and nothing less.

Lastly: I demand the court inform me of: The officer(s) / persons: within the Superior Court responsible for the administration of the Americans With Disabilities Act, and the local representative / officer required to oversee the "A.D.A." in Vincinage 3 of the Superior Court of New Jersey.

WITH ALL OF THAT SUBMITTED IN WRITING - I CONCLUDE BY STATING THAT:


I certify the foregoing statements are true to the best of my knowledge and I would avail myself to a jury trial and punishment under the law if these statements can be proven to be willfully false.

3 of 4

4 of 4

Very Truly,





Derek C. Syphrett, Esq.

DATED: October 14, 2014

Attorney-in-Fact
Citizen of New Jersey
Citizen of the United States of America
Permanently Disabled Person
Witness-of-Fact
Real-Party-of-Interest
The Sovereign-Power, *in parte / in toto, in iure civili, et in carne*
Naturalis Homo in Carne
Indigent Petitioner, Pro Se
Legally Competent Person, Pursuant: the findings and Precedential Law in Kyle v. Verona
Green Acres, Inc., and its progeny in New Jersey Courts

Derek C. Syphrett, Esq
252 Fountain Ln,
Lawrenceville, NJ 08648
Phone: 609-936-0025

Derek C. Syphrett, Esq.
Appearing Before the Court in/as these
legal capacities & legal persons:

- Petitioner
- Attorney-in-Fact;
- Permanently-Disabled, Indigent,
Citizen of United States & Citizen of
New Jersey (As a "Citizen" I have a

Sovereign Authority – herewith invoked
pursuant: Constitution of the United States
1787, inclusive of the Bill of Rights,
including the 10th Amendment as
subsequently ratified by congress);

- Witness-of-Fact;
- Real-Party-of-Interest;
- Natural Man with Inalienable
Sovereign Rights (herewith invoked
pursuant the Magna Carta / Declaration of
Independence 1776);
- A Sovereign, in *Cum & in Lege*;
- A friend of the Court
- Parent & Legal Guardian of Benjamin
& Vanessa Syphrett

Note: The Petitioner is not a member of
any "Sovereign Citizen" Movement or
group. The Defendant is simply invoking
all rights and privileges available to
similarly situated "persons", which are
rarely (if ever) explicitly & simultaneously
invoked in legal proceedings
vs.
Superior Court of New Jersey, Mercer
County Prosecutor's Office, Probation
Department, Mercer County Sheriff's
Office, Mercer County Corrections Center
Administrative Office of the Courts, Stuart
Rabner, Glenn Grant, Pedro Jimenez, Mary
C. Jacobson, Ronald E. Bookbinder, J.
Covert, Warden Charles Ellis, Prosecutor
Bocchini, Asst. Prosecutor M. Nardelli
Sheriff Kemler, et al agents in: official,
administrative, and individual capacities
referenced herein as "Subjects"
Respondents

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

UNDOCKETED:

**RELIEF IS DIRECTLY RELATED TO FAILURES
TO LAWFULLY ADMINISTER THE COURTS,
PROSECUTORS OFFICE, SHERIFF'S OFFICE,
MERCER COUNTY OFFICE OF COUNSEL WITH
REGARD TO THE OPEN, CLOSED CASE FILES
IN THE COURTS PERMANENT RECORD FOR
THE FOLLOWING CASES:**

PROSECUTORS CASE NO. 13-2502

FV-03-1154-14

FM-03-790-14

FV-1162-14

**PROOF OF SERVICE
IN SUPPORT OF:**

**(I) WRIT OF MANDAMUS, IN LIEU OF WRIT,
INFORMAL LEGAL BRIEF, COMMON-LAW
RIGHT OF ACCESS REQUEST**

**(II) APPROPRIATE
REMEDIAL ACTION BY THE STATE
AGENCIES LISTED HEREIN WITH REGARD TO
THE PETITIONERS REASONABLE DEMANDS
FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES PURSUANT
THE LEGAL, STATUTORY, AND BINDING**

**(III) ENFORCEMENT OF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS
GENERALLY AND STATUTORY DUE PROCESS
PURSUANT "THE PREVENTION OF DOMESTIC
VIOLENCE ACT"**

**(IV) LIMITED DISCOVERY
&
&**

**(V) ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AS CO-COUNSEL
ONLY (pursuant N.J. Constitution 1947 and Fareta v.
California, 1975)**

1 of 2

A copy of the following has been filed with The Supreme Court of New Jersey and the Superior Court of New Jersey (an subject agency of the writs), in Trenton, New Jersey, and Mount Holly, New Jersey.

These papers have been served upon the "subject" agencies within these moving papers.

This Proof of Service is a proof of delivery for **THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS:**

1. Letter to the Clerk of the Court, S. Ct. of New Jersey, and Chief Justice

2. Moving Papers in support of "Writ of Mandamus", Petition for Redress of a Grievance, Common-Law Right of Access Request. (Note: it is demanded that these moving papers be liberally construed as an in lieu of writ & writ, and that this court accept the operable portions of the common-law *in toto*, or not at all, and not ever again.)

3. NOTICE OF MOTION

4. PROOF OF SERVICE

Service has been Propounded, via physical mail:

MERCER COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE VIA PHYSICAL MAIL, VIA THIRD PARTY PERSONS. THESE PAPERS WERE DELIVERED TO

SHERIFF JACK KEMLER IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AT: 175 S Broad St, Trenton, NJ 08608

THE MERCER COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE AT 175 S Broad St, Trenton, NJ 08608

THE MERCER COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE (Prosecutor Joseph Bocchini, Michael Nardelli, in official and individual capacities) AT: 209 S Broad St, Trenton, NJ 08608

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY VINCIAGE 3 (R. Bookbinder, J. Covert,) AT: 49 Rancocas Rd, Mt Holly, NJ 08060

I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I will avail myself to legal penalties or consequences, propounded upon me in such case in as the result of a trial by jury (only).

DATED: OCTOBER 13, 2014

Derek C. Syphrett

Attorney-in-fact

Petitioner, Pro Se

Indigent Person, pursuant court order

Disabled Person, Pursuant the Federal A.D.A.

Citizen of New Jersey

Resident of New Jersey

Naturalis Homo In Carne

The Sovereign & Other Authorities, in lege, et in carne,

pursuant Constitution For The United States, 10th Amendment

2 of 2

Derek C. Syphrett, Esq.
Appearing Before the Court in/as these
legal capacities & legal persons:

- Petitioner
- Attorney-in-Fact;
- Permanently-Disabled, Indigent, Citizen of United States & Citizen of New Jersey (As a "Citizen" I have a constitutional capacity with inherent Sovereign Authority – herewith invoked pursuant: Constitution of the United States 1787, inclusive of the Bill of Rights, including the 10th Amendment as subsequently ratified by congress);
- Witness-of-Fact;
- Real-Party-of-Interest;
- Natural Man with Inalienable Sovereign Rights (herewith invoked pursuant the Magna Carta / Declaration of Independence 1776);
- A Sovereign, in *Carme & in Lege*;
- A friend of the Court
- Parent & Legal Guardian of Benjamin & Vanessa Syphrett

Note: The Petitioner is not a member of any "Sovereign Citizen" Movement or group. The Defendant is simply invoking all rights and privileges available to similarly situated "persons", which are rarely (if ever) explicitly & simultaneously invoked in legal proceedings

vs.

Superior Court of New Jersey, Mercer County Prosecutor's Office, Probation Department, Mercer County Sheriff's Office, Mercer County Corrections Center Administrative Office of the Courts, Stuart Rabner, Glenn Grant, Pedro Jimenez, Mary C. Jacobson, Ronald E. Bookbinder, J. Covert, Warden Charles Ellis, Prosecutor Bocchini, Asst. Prosecutor M. Nardelli, Sheriff Kemler, et al agents in: official, administrative, and individual capacities referenced herein as "Subjects"

Respondents

SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY

UNDOCKETED:

RELIEF IS DIRECTLY RELATED TO FAILURES TO LAWFULLY ADMINISTER THE COURTS, PROSECUTORS OFFICE, SHERIFF'S OFFICE, MERCER COUNTY OFFICE OF COUNSEL WITH REGARD TO THE OPEN, CLOSED CASE FILES IN THE COURTS PERMANENT RECORD FOR THE FOLLOWING CASES:

**PROSECUTORS CASE NO. 13-2502
FV-03-1154-14
FM-03-790-14
FV-1162-14**

**NOTICE OF MOTION / WRIT / PETITION
MOVING PAPERS**

(I) WRIT OF MANDAMUS, IN LIEU OF WRIT, INFORMAL LEGAL BRIEF, COMMON-LAW RIGHT OF ACCESS REQUEST

(II) APPROPRIATE

REMEDIAL ACTION BY THE STATE AGENCIES LISTED HEREIN WITH REGARD TO THE PETITIONERS REASONABLE DEMANDS FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES PURSUANT THE LEGAL, STATUTORY, AND BINDING

(III) ENFORCEMENT OF DUE PROCESS RIGHTS GENERALLY AND STATUTORY DUE PROCESS PURSUANT "THE PREVENTION OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ACT"

(IV) LIMITED DISCOVERY
&
&

(V) ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AS CO-COUNSEL ONLY (pursuant N.J. Constitution 1947 and Faretta v. California, 1975)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that OCTOBER 31, 2014, in the forenoon/afternoon, or as soon as counsel may be heard the undersigned will make an application before the Supreme Court of New Jersey at 25 Market Street, Trenton New Jersey, for an order which you received on OR ABOUT OCTOBER 31TH, PURSUANT MY LAWFUL RIGHTS AND AUTHORITIES TO DEMAND YOUR AGENCIES OR YOURSELF IN YOUR OFFICIAL CAPACITY TO ABIDE THE LAW OF THIS JURISDICTION WITH RELATION TO MY LEGAL AFFAIRS. THESE PAPERS HAVE ALSO BEEN PROPOUNDED UPON CHIEF JUSTICE RABNER IN HIS ADMINISTRATIVE CAPACITY, PURSUANT COURT RULE 1:33 et. Seq. Please take notice that this legal papers and motions will seek an order for THE FOLLOWING:

1. PETITIONER'S DEMAND FOR: ORAL ARGUMENTS, PURSUANT HIS RIGHT TO BE FULLY HEARD ON THIS MATTER, AND AS A REASONABLE ACCOMODATION OF HIS ATTENTION DEFICIT DISORDER & POST-TRAUMATIC-STRESS RELATED TO HIS PUTATIVE FALSE IMPRISONMENT, PURSUANT THE FEDERAL: "AMERICAN'S WITH DISABILITIES ACT", FURTHER REAL TIME TRANSCRIPTS OF THE PROCEEDINGS ARE REQUESTED IN ADVANCE AS A REASONABLE ACCOMODATION OF THE PETITIONERS PERMANENT DISABILITY.

2. PETITIONERS REQUEST FOR: PLENARY HEARING AND LIMITED DISCOVERY TO ADDRESS THE POSSIBILITY OF THE PETITIONERS ASSERTIONS OF FACT WITH REGARD TO UNLAWFUL ACTIVITIES BY THE "SUBJECT" AGENCIES AND AGENTS VIOLATIONS OF STATUTORY LAW, BINDING COMMON-LAW, AND RULES OF LAW IN THIS JURISDICTION

3. PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR: RELAXATION, WAIVER OF COURT RULES WITH REGARD TO FORMATTING, ADDITIONAL COPIES FOR THE SUPREME COURT, TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE FORM OR FORMAT OF PLEADINGS, DUE TO THE PETITIONERS INDIGENT STATUS WITHIN THE UNIFIED COURT, DUE TO THE PETITIONERS IGNORANCE OF WHAT THE RULES FOR FILING BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT ARE, AND PURSUANT THE BINDING AUTHORITY OF

AND

THE BINDING LAW WITHIN NEW JERSEY REGARDING RECUSAL, APPEARANCE OF PREJUDICE, SHOW THAT BURLINGTON COUNTY CLERKS, COURT OFFICERS, AND JUDGES HAVE VIOLATED OTHER THAN BURLINGTON OR MERCER COUNTY, GIVEN THE FACTS PLEAD HEREIN WHICH ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION OF THE UNIFIED COURTS OF NEW JERSEY TO ANOTHER COUNTY

6. PETITIONERS REQUEST FOR: HIS LEGAL MATTERS TO BE TRANSFERRED BY

THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING PROSECUTOR'S CASE #13-2502
A VIOLATION OF THE PETITIONERS LITIGATION PRIVILEGES AND RIGHTS TO DISCOVERY OF
A VIOLATION OF THE NEW JERSEY STATUTES AND/OR OPEN PUBLIC RECORDS ACT, AND IT IS
REFUSED TO PROVIDE ANY TRUE FACTUAL RECORD OF THE SAME FOR OVER 1-YEAR. THIS IS
CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING THE PETITIONERS 8/19/2013 ARREST AS THE OFFICE HAS
5. PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR: THE MERCER COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE TO PROVIDE THE

KYLE V. VERONA GREEN ACRES, INC.

JURISDICTION, INCLUDING STATE V. HANDY SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY (2014), AND
SE WITH STANDBY COUNSEL AT ALL TIMES PURSUANT THE BINDING COMMON LAW OF THIS
U.S. SUPREME COURT (1975), PETITIONER EXPLICITLY RESERVES HIS RIGHT TO PROCEED PRO
PUT BEFORE THE COURT PURSUANT THE BINDING AUTHORITY OF FARETTA V. CALIFORNIA,
CHARGES IN PROSECUTOR'S CASE NUMBER 13-2502. THE REQUEST FOR STANDBY COUNSEL IS
BECAUSE THE RESULT OF THIS MANDAMUS MAY BE THE REINSTATEMENT OF CRIMINAL
COVERED BY THE PROTECTIONS AFFORDED IN THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, AND
BONO SERVICE OF THE BAR, AS A REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION FOR A DISABLED PERSON,
4. PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR: STANDBY COUNSEL AT THE COURT'S COST, OR VIA PRO

4 of 7

FORCED TO ATTEND ON 8/19/2013.

PLACED IN A COURT ROOM WITHOUT LEGAL NOTICE OF THE HEARING FOR WHICH I WAS THE COURT, AND/OR THE CHIEF JUSTICE EXPLAIN ON WHAT LAWFUL AUTHORITY I WAS (collectively the presiding judges in prosecutors case No. 13-2502) THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES OF

JIMENEZ, JUDGE MARY C. JACOBSON, JUDGE RONALD E. BOOKBINDER, JUDGE COVERT

8. **PETITIONER'S DEMAND FOR:** THE SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY, JUDGE PEDRO

EXPLICITLY INCLUSIVE OF: THE MERCER COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE SPECIFICALLY) 1:50PM ON 8/19/2013 AT 175 SOUTH BROAD STREET, TRENTON NEW JERSEY, (THIS DEMAND IS ARREST AND THE NAME(S) OF THE ARRESTING OFFICERS THAT EXECUTED MY ARREST AT 8/19/2013 (FULLY AS POSSIBLE, OR IS REASONABLE) EXPLAIN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE 7. **PETITIONER'S DEMAND FOR:** THE AGENCIES WHO PRESIDED OVER MY ARREST ON

2/6/2014 AND 2/19/2014.

APPEARING AT THE COURT FACILITY, SEE COURT ORDERS IN REFERENCED CASES DATED PROCEEDINGS, THE DEFENDANT WAS IN CONSTANT THREAT OF CONTEMPT FOR SIMPLY ASSIGNMENT JUDGE WHICH TRANSGRESS ANY MANNER OF FAIR, JUST, IMPARTIAL INITIO, AS ALL PROCEEDINGS WERE TANTED BY EXTRINSIC FRAUD AND THREATS OF THE BURLINGTON COUNTY / VINCIAGE 3 JUDGES OR QUASI-JUDGES TO BE NULL & VOID AB OF THE LAW, I NOW DEMAND THE SUPREME COURT DECLARE THE ORDERS ISSUED BY 1154-14, FV-03-1162-14, THIS IS NOT A JUDICIAL ACT, IT IS EXTRINSIC FRAUD AND A VIOLATION ATTORNEY-IN-FACT, VIA COURT ORDERS IN PROSECUTORS CASE #13-2502, FM-03-790-14, FV-03- FROM APPEARING IN COURT FOR HIS OWN TRIALS AS BOTH WITNESS-OF-FACT, AND

THAT HE HAS THE LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO PROHIBIT THE PETITIONER FROM APPEARING IN FACT: RONALD E. BOOKBINDER, HAS PROUDLY MAINTAINED HIS PUTATIVE BELIEF

2 of 5

MISCONDUCT, HARASSMENT, TERRORISTIC THREATS AGAINST Derek Syphrett, AND ANY OTHER

THE EVIDENCE SUBMITTED HEREIN, AND REFERENCED, WITH REGARD TO OFFICIAL

RIGHTS, OR THAT THEY CONSIDER INITIATING A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION WITH REGARD TO

WHY THEY HAVE NOT INVESTIGATED THE ALLEGED CRIMES AND VIOLATIONS OF MY CIVIL

11. PETITIONER'S DEMAND FOR: THE MERCER COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE EXPLAIN

TOMASELLO

NAMED COURT OFFICERS IN BURLINGTON INCLUDING JUDGE BOOKINDER AND JOHN

BURLINGTON, DUE TO THE POST-TRAUMATIC-STRESS DISORDER ATTRIBUTED TO THE

10. PETITIONER'S DEMAND FOR: HIS LEGAL CASES TO BE ADMINISTRATIVELY MOVED TO

PROCESS!

EXAMINE THE ISSUE OR REPORT ANY FINDINGS FOR WHAT APPEARS TO BE UNLAWFUL

THIS ISSUE, AND THE RESULTING LEIN ON MY PROPERTY, BUT THEY HAVE REFUSED TO

NOTE: I HAVE ASKED JUDGE BOOKINDER REPEATEDLY, AND JUDGE COVERT TO INVESTIGATE

FIRST WAS POSTED BY A THIRD PARTY, IN PROSECUTORS CASE #13-2502.

IN OTHER WORDS: ON WHAT LAWFUL AUTHORITY WERE THREE BAILS SET, TWO AFTER THE

ALREADY POSTED BAIL ON 8/18/2013.

WHAT LAWFUL AUTHORITY MY BAIL WAS SET AT \$50,000 AND THE R.O.R. AFTER I HAD

COURT OF NEW JERSEY, JUDGE GLENN GRANT, AND/OR THE CHIEF JUSTICE EXPLAIN ON

CORRECTIONS CENTER, BURLINGTON COUNTY CORRECTIONS FACILITY, THE SUPERIOR

JUDGE COVERT, THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS, MERCER COUNTY

9. PETITIONER'S DEMAND FOR: THE SUPERIOR COURT, JUDGE RONALD E. BOOKINDER,

APPROPRIATE CRIMINAL STATUTES THAT APPLY TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES DESCRIBED
HEREIN OR PREVIOUSLY, IN WRITING TO THE PROSECUTORS OFFICE OR ITS AGENTS!

12. PETITIONER'S DEMAND FOR: THE COURT, CHIEF JUSTICE RABNER (SPECIFICALLY)

CONSIDER THE FACTS AND LAW REGARDING THIS MATTER THAT I HAVE PROPOUNDED UPON
THE COURT TODAY AND EARLIER, AND THEN IF APPROPRIATE, SEND AN APOLOGY LETTER
TO ME, AND THEN HAVE AN ORDER PREPARED DECLARING THE PRIOR ORDERS IN
PROSECUTORS CASE #2502 TO BE VOID, FOR SELF-EVIDENT REASONS, WHICH NO LAWFUL
COURT COULD NOW AVOID ACKNOWLEDGING.

13. PETITIONER'S DEMAND FOR: JUDGE JACOBSONS, THE SUPERIOR COURT, PROVIDE ME THE BASIS
IN FACT THAT FORMED THE "GOOD CAUSE" SHOWN FOR TRANSFERRING MY CRIMINAL AND CIVIL
CASES FROM MERCER COUNTY TO BURLINGTON COUNTY, BECAUSE IT IS CLEARLY OUTRAGEOUS,
EVEN IF IT IS ROUTINE, FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE ORDERS IN PUBLIC CASES WITHOUT SHARING THE
FACT FINDING OR PUTTING SUCH FACT FINDING ON THE RECORD AS HAS OCCURRED HERE. ITS
BULLSH*T FRANKLY, AND I WILL NOT ABIDE IT!

I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the
foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I will avail myself to legal penalties or
consequences, propounded upon me in such case in as the result of a trial by jury (only).

DATED: OCTOBER 13, 2014


Derek C. Sypniet

Attorney-in-fact
Petitioner, Pro Se
Indigent Person, pursuant court order
Disabled Person, Pursuant the Federal A.D.A.
Citizen of New Jersey
Resident of New Jersey
Naturalis Homo In Carne
The Sovereign & Other Authorities, in lege, et in carne,
pursuant Constitution For The United States, 10th Amendment

NOTICE TO RESPONDENTS; LITIGANTS; REAL-PARTIES-OF-INTERESTS:

IF YOU WANT TO RESPOND TO THESE MOTION PAPERS, WRIT OF MANDAMUS, IN LIEU OF WRIT, PETITION FOR REDRESS OF A GRIEVANCE(S), THEN YOU MUST DO SO IN WRITING:

This written response shall be by affidavit or certification. (Affidavits and certifications are documents filed with the court. In either document the person signing it swears to its truth and acknowledges that they are aware that they can be punished for not filing a true statement with the court. Affidavits are notarized and certifications are not.) If you would also like to submit your own separate requests in a motion to the judge you can do so by filing a cross-motion. Your response and/or cross-motion may ask for oral argument. That means you can ask to appear before the court to explain your position. However, you must submit a written response even if you request oral argument. Any papers you send to the court must be sent to the opposing side, either to the attorney if the opposing party is represented by one, or to the other party if they represent themselves. Two copies of all motions, cross-motions, certifications, and briefs shall be sent to the opposing side.

"The response and/or cross-motion must be submitted to the court by a certain date. All motions must be filed on the Tuesday 24 days before the return date. A response and/or cross motion must be filed fifteen days (Thursday) before the return date. Answers or responses to any opposing affidavits and cross-motions shall be served and filed not later than eight days (Thursday) before the return date. No other response is permitted without permission of the court. If you mail in your papers you must add three days to the above time periods.

"Responses to motion papers sent to the court are to be sent to the following address: above referenced address. Call the CLERK OF THE COURT FOR THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY AT: (609) 292-4837 if you have any questions on how to file a motion, cross-motion or any response papers. Please note that the Family Division Manager's office cannot give you legal advice."

7 of 7

LETTER-BRIEF & INFORMAL WRIT OF MANDAMUS FOR PROPER
ADMINISTRATION AND DISCIPLINE WITHIN THE COURTS

10/13/2014

Derek C. Syphrett
Pro se litigant (Defendant)
252 Fountayne Ln,
Lawrence Township, NJ 08648

VIA U.S. MAIL & FACSIMILE BY THIRD PARTY PERSONS
SENT IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE WITH DEMAND FOR PAGE LIMIT RESTRICTIONS
TO BE WAIVED BY THE COURT

JUDGE COVERT, JUDGE RONALD BOOKBINDER, Criminal Division Clerk, Family Division
Clerk, Susan Fortino, John Tomasello, Judge John Call, Judge Covert, Sharyn Sherman, Mr. Boykins,
Law Clerks for the Judges named herein.
Superior Court of New Jersey (Burlington County)
49 Rancocas Rd
Mount Holly, NJ 08060

JUDGE GERALD COUNCIL, JUDGE PEDRO JIMINEZ, JUDGE JACOBSON

Superior Court of New Jersey (Mercer County)
400 Warren Street
Trenton, New Jersey 08609

JUDGE GLENN GRANT, J.A.D., ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS, MERYL

NADLER, ESQ.

P.O. Box 037
Trenton New Jersey, 08625-0037

CHIEF JUSTICE STUART RABNER, MICHELLE, M. SMITH, SUPREME COURT, EN BANC

25 Market Street
Trenton, New Jersey 08609

RE: FAILURE TO PROPERLY ADMINISTER THE PROCESS, PROCEDURES, AND
PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT THE BINDING LAWS OF THIS JURISDICTION IN:
PROSECUTOR'S CASE NUMBER: 13-2502

&

THIS DEMAND FOR A FULL EXPLANATION AND FULL ADMINISTRATIVE CRIMINAL
INVESTIGATIONS WITH REGARD TO THE NON-JUDICIAL ACTS OF JUDGE PEDRO
JIMINEZ.

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

1. TRANSCRIPTS FROM PROSECUTOR'S CASE #13-2502, DATED 8/19/2013
2. PUTATIVE COURT ORDER (A VOID) IN PROSECUTOR'S CASE #13-2502

(IMPORTANT NOTATION: collectively these documents are proof of unlawful acts propounded by
Pedro Jiminez, which include fraud and acts exceeding authority of any lawful American Court)

Exhibit D-4 - Proof of pushing of bail on 8/19/2013, in Prosecutor's Case #13-2502

Dear Officers of the Courts, Employees of The Court, and Real-Parties-Of-Interest:

Please take receipt of the enclosed documents, which are in fact evidence and exact copies of documents, testimony, and or judicial statements from Prosecutor's Case Number 13-2502.

Due to my recent interactions with the Superior Court, and my conference calls with numerous putative customers of the Superior Court, I have awoken from my benign acceptance of the horrific violations of my civil and constitutional rights. I have again / finally realized that what is occurring in my legal interactions with the Superior Court, Administrative Offices of the Court, and the responsible parties (listed by official titles in Court Rule 1:33 et, seq) is ABSOLUTELY OUTRAGEOUS, AND UNLAWFUL.

STATEMENTS OF FACTS & EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE PETITIONER DEREK SYMPHETT'S DEMANDS FOR PROPER ADMINISTRATION OF THE COURTS AND SUA SPONTE REMEDIAL ACTIONS:

SPECIFICALLY WITH REGARD TO PROSECUTOR'S CASE NUMBER: 13-2502:

Herein please find PROOFS OF THE FOLLOWING FACTS, TO CONFIRM OR AUTHENTICATE THIS INFORMATION REFER TO THE PERMANENT RECORDED IN PROSECUTOR'S CASE NUMBER 13-2502, HELD AT THE SUPERIOR COURT.

1. two documents that illustrate and describe the complete evisceration of my constitutional right to be a free person within this jurisdiction, and to receive proper Due-Process during, prior and after an "arrest", specifically the August 19, 2013 arrest executed without warrant, probable cause, or any bail violation at 1:50pm. DETAILS OF ENCLOSURES WITH THIS LETTER-BRIEF, INFORMAL WRIT OF MANDAMUS:

(a) Exhibit D-1: Full Transcripts of First Appearance in Prosecutors Case #13-2502.
(b) Exhibit D-2: Court Order dated August 20, 2013

2. Descriptive Cover-Sheets precede each of the two documents. Describing the Violations of my constitutionally protected rights and the binding common-law of this state jurisdiction, and the Federal Jurisdiction. Note that by operation of THE LAW / COMMON-LAW, THE 8/19/2013 AND 8/20/2013 COURT ORDERS ARE IN FACT & LAW NULL & VOID *ab initio*.

Legal Authorities Supporting the Above: Take Legal Notice of the following Binding Law, in toto:

(a) *Valley v. Northern Fire & Marine Ins., Co, U.S. 348, 41, S.Ct. 116 (1920) - Binding on this Court*

(b) IN RE: Louis M.J. DILEO A Former Judge of the Municipal Court, N.J. Supreme Court (2014)

IN THE "DILEO MATTER" (Referenced Above) THE NJ SUPREME COURT DECIDED IN THIS MATTER THAT: Judge Dileo had exceeded his authority by acting as both prosecutor and Judge.

In Prosecutor's Case #13-2502 (MY CASE), Judge Jimenez acted as prosecutor, Judge, and Witness-of-Fact on 8/19/2013.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Subsequently this matter of Judge Dileo's unlawful conduct is currently pending in State and Federal Courts, The Third Circuit Appellate Court has denied Judge Dileo any

dismissal based on Judicial Immunity, because the facts as pleaded represent a waiver of Judicial Immunity. I have corresponded with the lawyers handling that case and have come to understand that my rights were similarly violated in Prosecutor's Case #13-2502.

DEMANDS HEREIN ARE PROPOUNDED UPON THE FOLLOWING AGENCIES & OFFICERS IN APPROPRIATE (PARTS AND/OR IN WHOLE) DENOTED LATER AS SUBJECTS:

CHIEF JUSTICE STUART RABNER (In Administrative & Individual Capacities)
JUDGE GLENN GRANT, J.A.D. (In Administrative & Individual Capacities)
MICHELLE M. SMITH (In Administrative & Individual Capacities)
NEW JERSEY SUPREME COURT
NEW JERSEY SUPERIOR COURT
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES OF THE SUPERIOR COURTHOUSE
ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT
MERCER COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE
MERCER COUNTY PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE
MERCER COUNTY CORRECTIONS CENTER
SHERIFF "JACK"/JOHN KEMLER (Official & Individual Capacities)
UNDER-SHERIFF-PEDRO MEDINA (In Administrative & Individual Capacities)
RONALD E. BOOKBINDER (In Administrative & Individual Capacities)
JUDGE COVERT (In Administrative & Individual Capacities)
MICHAEL NARDELLI Asst. Prosecutor (In Official & Individual Capacities)
JOSEPH BOCCCHINE, Prosecutor (In Official & Individual Capacities)

REASONS FOR MY DEMANDS FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND SUA SPONTE RELIEF:

1. On 8/18/2013 I was arrested (on an 8/18/2013 warrant issued by Judge Pedro Jimenez) based on unproven, and presumably a false or unsupported claim that I had committed an act of 2C:12-3B. The Complainant, was a Catherine Fitzpatrick, a Family Part Judge who I had recently reported to the A.C.J.C. After she unlawfully, and contrary to the court rules attempted to silence my voice in court by assigning me a court-appointed lawyer without my consent, and thereafter threatened to remove me from hearings if I spoke at all, or against her will to object to the proceedings. The lawyer had not been assigned pursuant court rule 5:3-3, and no evidence had been properly put before the court to support his assignment in Superior Court Docket: FM-11-00097-13. Notably on 8/16/2013 the lawyer was removed from the case after I contacted his law offices about his unlawful appointment to the case.

2. Bail was set inexplicably at \$50,000 all cash / No 10% (150% above state guidelines, despite the fact I had no prior felony record), and despite the fact that similarly situated persons in New Jersey are often subject to substantially lower bails or R.O.R. Additionally it is common in New Jersey for persons charged with far more serious or violent crimes to be subject to Bails of ONLY \$50,000 with a 10% Option. See legal foundations in:

PLEASE TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE / LEGAL NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING IN TOTO, IN PARTE:

(a) *State v. Johnson, 61 N.J. 351, 355 (1972), in toto: Where the court established binding principles for the setting of bail and a process including the reading of rights, and fact finding supporting the setting of bail, CONVERSELY & CONTRARY TO THE LAW:*

i. In Prosecutors Case #2502, the Defendant was not read his rights by the Trial Judge;

ii. no fact finding supported the setting of the excessively high bail;

iii. there was no evidence that the Defendant was a threat to the community presented, no evidence the Defendant could be bailable at \$50,000 all cash;

iv. prior to the setting of such bail, no evidence that the Defendant;

v. There was no evidence put before the court that the Defendant was legally incompetent or that he might be, in fact the court records show the opposite, CLEARLY AND CONVINCINGLY

vi. Judge Jimenez acted as WITNESS-OF-FACT, PROSECUTOR, AND JUDGE AT THE 8/19/2013 "FIRST APPEARANCE", WHICH WAS CONDUCTED WITHOUT PRIOR NOTICE FROM THE COURT TO THE DEFENDANT OR THE DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY'S

vii. WHILE IN CUSTODY THE DEFENDANT (ME) WAS DENIED THE RIGHT TO MAKE ANY PHONE CALLS, AND INSTEAD I WAS HANDCUFFED AND PLACED IN A HOLDING CELL, WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE, WARRANT, OR ANY LEGAL PROCESS EXPLAINING SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES.

(b) State v. Campbell Superior Court of New Jersey, Law Division, Sussex County, Indictment No. 11-05-0521 (in toto), where a man charged with setting fire to two homes had his bail set at ONLY \$50,000, and the grand jury evidence included a eye-witness, and authenticated evidence, where my case State v.

3. CONFLICT OF INTERESTS EXISTED: Judge Pedro Jimenez

was/is a colleague of Judge Catherine Fitzpatrick within the same court

vincinage and he attends professional functions and interacts with her on a periodic basis, this appears to be a conflict of interests and appears his serving as Judge in Prosecutor Case #13-2502 has/had always appeared to be a violation of the court rules and binding law in:

(a) Court Rule 1:12-1, et seq.; AND/OR

(b) State v. Tucker, 264 N.J. Super. 549,554 (App. Div. 1993), certif. denied, 135 N.J. 468 (1994); AND/OR

(c) State v. Muraski, 6 N.J. Super. 36, 38 (App. Div. 1949); AND/OR

(d) The Bright Line Supreme Court Rule Established referenced in: Rivers v. Cox-Rivers, 346 N.J. Super. 418, 788 A.2d 320 (App. Div. 2002); AND/OR;

(e) State v. Kettles, 345 N.J. Super. 466, 785 A.2d 925 (App. Div. 2001), certif. denied, 171 N.J. 443, 794 A.2d 182 (2002),

4. THE DEFENDANT PLEAD LEGAL COMPETENCE AND ASKED THE COURT TO JUDICIALLY NOTICE HIS PRIOR COURT APPEARANCES AND SUCCESSFUL MOTIONS, THE JUDGE REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE THESE FACTS BEFORE DECIDING THE MATTER, DESPITE THE BINDING COMMON-LAW OF: KYLE V. VERONA GREEN ACRES, INC, CLEARLY FAVORING THE DEFENDANT'S POSITION THAT:

(a) THE COURT COULD NOT QUESTION HIS LEGAL COMPETENCE BEFORE THE CRIMINAL DIVISION, BECAUSE:

(b) THE DEFENDANT HAD SUCCESSFULLY DEFENDED AND REPRESENTED HIMSELF IN THE LAW DIVISION PREVIOUSLY.

5. ON 8/19/2013 JUDGE JIMENEZ PRESENTED THE DEFENDANT A PURGED / EXECUTED WARRANT TO THE DEFENDANT ON 8/19/2013, TO JUSTIFY MR. SYPHRETT'S 8/19/2013 ARREST... THERE IN FACT WAS NO WARRANT FOR THE 8/19/2013 ARREST, IT WAS UNLAWFUL.

6. 8/20/2013: Judge Jimenez issued a fraudulent court order which in part stated that he Public Defender was representing Derek Syphrett, the Defendant in Prosecutors Case No: 2502. THIS WAS FALSE, THIS NEVER HAPPENED IN 2013!
WRIT OF MANDAMUS & PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCE, DEMANDS OF THE PETITIONER, Derek C. Syphrett (in all legal capacities relevant to this proceeding):

1. I DEMAND: THE AGENCIES WHO PRESIDED OVER MY ARREST ON 8/19/2013 (FULLY AS POSSIBLE, OR IS REASONABLE) EXPLAIN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE ARREST AND THE NAME(S) OF THE ARRESTING OFFICERS THAT EXECUTED MY ARREST AT 1:50PM ON 8/19/2013 AT 175 SOUTH BROAD STREET, TRENTON NEW JERSEY, (THIS DEMAND IS EXPLICITLY INCLUSIVE OF: THE MERCER COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE SPECIFICALLY)

2. I DEMAND: THE SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY, JUDGE PEDRO JIMENEZ, JUDGE MARY C. JACOBSON, JUDGE RONALD E. BOOKBINDER, JUDGE COVERT (collectively the presiding judges in prosecutors case No. 13-2502) THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES OF THE COURT, AND/OR THE CHIEF JUSTICE EXPLAIN ON WHAT LAWFUL AUTHORITY I WAS PLACED IN A COURT ROOM WITHOUT LEGAL NOTICE OF THE HEARING FOR WHICH I WAS FORCED TO ATTEND ON 8/19/2013.

3. I DEMAND: THE SUPERIOR COURT, JUDGE RONALD E. BOOKBINDER, JUDGE COVERT, THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS, MERCER COUNTY CORRECTIONS CENTER, BURLINGTON COUNTY CORRECTIONS FACILITY, THE SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY, JUDGE GLENN GRANT, AND/OR THE CHIEF JUSTICE EXPLAIN ON WHAT LAWFUL AUTHORITY MY BAIL WAS SET AT \$50,000 AND THE R.O.R. AFTER I HAD ALREADY POSTED BAIL ON 8/18/2013.

IN OTHER WORDS: ON WHAT LAWFUL AUTHORITY WERE THREE BAILS SET, TWO AFTER THE FIRST WAS POSTED BY A THIRD PARTY, IN PROSECUTORS CASE #13-2502.

NOTE: I HAVE ASKED JUDGE BOOKBINDER REPEATEDLY, AND JUDGE COVERT TO INVESTIGATE THIS ISSUE, AND THE RESULTING LEIN ON MY PROPERTY, BUT THEY HAVE REFUSED TO EXAMINE THE ISSUE OR REPORT ANY FINDINGS FOR WHAT APPEARS TO BE UNLAWFUL PROCESS!

4. I DEMAND: THE MERCER COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE EXPLAIN WHY THEY HAVE NOT INVESTIGATED THE ALLEGED CRIMES AND VIOLATIONS OF MY CIVIL RIGHTS, OR THAT THEY CONSIDER INITIATING A CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION WITH REGARD TO THE EVIDENCE SUBMITTED HEREIN, AND REFERENCED, WITH REGARD TO OFFICIAL MISCONDUCT, HARASSMENT, TERRORISTIC THREATS AGAINST Derek Syphrett, AND ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE CRIMINAL STATUTES THAT APPLY TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES

DISCRIBED HEREIN OR PREVIOUSLY, IN WRITTING TO THE PROSECUTORS OFFICE OR ITS AGENTS!

5. I DEMAND: THE COURT, CHIEF JUSTICE RABNER (SPECIFICALLY) CONSIDER THE FACTS AND LAW REGARDING THIS MATTER THAT I HAVE PROPOUNDED UPON THE COURT TODAY AND EARLIER, AND THEN IF APPROPRIATE: SEND AN APOLOGY LETTER TO ME, AND THEN HAVE AN ORDER PREPARED DECLARING THE PRIOR ORDERS IN PROSECUTORS CASE #2502 TO BE VOID, FOR SELF-EVIDENT REASONS, WHICH NO LAWFUL COURT COULD NOW AVOID ACKNOWLEDGING.

6. I DEMAND JUDGE JACOBSONS, THE SUPERIOR COURT, PROVIDE ME THE BASIS IN FACT THAT FORMED THE "GOOD CAUSE" SHOWN FOR TRANSFERRING MY CRIMINAL AND CIVIL CASES FROM MERCER COUNTY TO BURLINGTON COUNTY, BECAUSE IT IS CLEARLY OUTRAGEOUS, EVEN IF IT IS ROUTINE, FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE ORDERS IN PUBLIC CASES WITHOUT SHARING THE FACT FINDING OR PUTTING SUCH FACT FINDING ON THE RECORD AS HAS OCCURRED HERE. ITS BULSH*T FRANKLY, AND I WILL NOT ABIDE IT!

I certify all of the foregoing statements and enclosed exhibits are true to the best of my knowledge and I avail myself to punishment under the law, with the right to demand a jury, in the case that any of the aforementioned statements can be proven to be willfully false by a jury of my peers.

VERY TRULY:

Derek C. Syphrett, Esq.

Attorney / Attorney-in-fact for the above and below legal persons named & recognized as Derek Syphrett:

Citizen of New Jersey

Citizen of the United States of America

Permanently Disabled Person, pursuant the ADA

Witness-of-Fact

Real-Party-of-Interest

The Sovereign-Power, in parte / in toto, in iure civili, et in carne

Naturalis Homo in Carne

Legally Competent Person, Pursuant: the Findings and Precedential Law in Kyle v. Verona Green Acres, and its progeny in New Jersey Courts

NOTE: I AM IN FACT AND LAW: A CONSTITUTIONAL CREATION MYSELF AS A

"CITIZEN". I have more rights, powers, authority, and privileges, in my legal capacities as the above legal "persons" than any other party to any of the legal matters propounded upon the court in this letter, where I am listed as the Defendant or a real-party of Interest (by name).

Upon hours of research, I can find ~~no~~ legal foundation or law that even suggests that Judges have one (1) legal right, I see only references to privileges. Further I found no foundation for any judge having independent authority, which was not delegated to him by "the people", in otherwords a judge has no authority of his own, where-as: I have inalienable powers and authority in my aforementioned legal capacities. Please therefore show me the proper respect, and contrition and humble yourself significantly in all future communications, because in fact and in law, my powers are incredibly expansive. My legal authorities, powers, privileges, and rights do not favor your further misconduct, they are indifferent to it, yet my legal powers, can be directed to eviscerate your careers and your freedoms upon my command given the circumstances I've described above.

Nothing in this letter should however be construed as a threat, as this letter is explicitly sent to enforce my rights, in a civil and lawful manner, with great respect for the court and the rule of law generally, whether it be hidden, from plain site in the referenced proceedings or not!

cc: Judge Glenn Grant
cc: Administrative Office of the Courts (Appropriate Person Overseeing the Compliance with the Federal Americans with Disabilities Act)
cc: Michelle Smith Clerk of the Court
cc: Chief Justice Rabner
cc: Justice Albin
cc: Advisory Committee on Judicial Conduct, Executive Director John Tonell,
cc: Plaintiff – Kathryn Bischoff (the woman who never refuted or replied to my pleadings that she lied to obtain a FRO) delivered via Court Clerk, pursuant DV Procedural Manual of the New Jersey Courts

Exhibit D-1 & A.C.J.C. Complaint against Judge Jimenez

① Transcripts from "First Appearance"

for Prosecutors Case # 13-2502.

Hereina Complaint of Crime & Judicial Misconduct
 Judge Pedro Jimenez fails to present a

Valid Warrant for my 8/19/2013 arrest

instead he provides an old (purged/executed) warrant
 from 8/18/2013, which had already been executed
 & which I had already posted Bail for &
 for which I had already been released from
 Custody.

There is no warrant, probable cause, or
Bail Violation to justify my 8/19/2013 arrest
 at ~1:50pm, at 175 South Broad Street, where I
 was at Court with witnesses present for a civil
 trial in FV-11-625-13 scheduled for 1:30pm.

Exhibit D-1

Description - Continued:

Judge Pedro Jimenez exceeded his authority by acting as prosecutor, witness-of-fact, and Judge to justify Amending an executed warrant for my arrest, ~~8/18/2013~~ 8/19/2013 warrant) or

8/19/2013.

Judge Pedro Jimenez then ignored evidence of my legal competence in my testimony, and in the Court Record as referenced in my statements on these transcripts;

Judge Pedro Jimenez did no fact finding to support his order (not enough fact finding)

Judge Pedro Jimenez also had a professional relationship with the putative complainant Judge Fitzpatrick

Exhibit D-1

Description of Complaint - continued

Judge Pedro Jimenez never provided
a J-A form for the public Defender
to me on 8/19/2013 or at any time since!
He Lied /Falsely claimed he would even
though I declined the public Defender
Services!

The written Court order of 8/20/2013

states I was represented by a public

Defender Jenna Casper, Esq. THIS IS FALSE

Jenna Casper the Public Defender's office confirmed
They Never Represented me & never had

consent to via Recorded phone calls.

Judge Pedro Jimenez's order is void & a fraud

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION, CRIMINAL PART
MERCER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY
PROSECUTOR FILE NO. 13-2502
A.D. #

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

v.

DEREK SYPHRETT,

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT

OF

HEARING

Place: Mercer County Courthouse
400 South Warren Street
Trenton, NJ 08608
Date: August 19, 2013

BEFORE:

THE HON. PEDRO J. JIMENEZ, JR., J.S.C.

TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY:

TAMORA SYPHRETT

APPEARANCES:

DEREK SYPHRETT, Defendant, Pro Se

Transcribers, Cindy Post
J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.
268 Evergreen Avenue
Hamilton, NJ 08619
(609) 586-2311
FAX NO. (609) 587-3599
E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com
Website: www.jjcourt.com
Audio Recorded
Audio Operator, Bell Provost

THE COURT: Next matter to deal with on the docket is Prosecutor's File 13-2502, State versus Derek Syphrett. Sir, are you Mr. Syphrett?

MR. SYPHRETT: Yes, I am, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SYPHRETT: I'd like to see my warrant, Your Honor. If it's reasonably possible. I haven't been provided one yet.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll get you a copy of it right now. Sir, I'm handing you a copy of your warrant.

MR. SYPHRETT: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm actually outraged by this proceeding.

THE COURT: It's a one-count charge of terroristic threats. Just to be clear, bail was set by me -- you can have a seat -- bail was set by me at 50,000, no ten percent, prosecutor to be notified prior to release. There really is to be no phone, mail or other personal contact with the victim in this case, but that has to be expanded to the victim and --

MR. SYPHRETT: Is that a new order, Your Honor?

THE COURT: This is part of the bail order, Mr. Syphrett. Am I saying your name right? Syphrett (pronouncing)?

Colloquy

2

MR. SYPHRETT: It's Syphrett --

THE COURT: Syphrett.

MR. SYPHRETT: -- like don't sigh, don't fret.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Syphrett, anyone in the Mercer Judiciary --

MR. SYPHRETT: How will I access the courts then, sir?

THE COURT: You can -- what I'm doing now is I'm going to have you complete or have -- get you a 5A application so you can take advantage of the services of a public defender.

MR. SYPHRETT: I won't be using a public defender provided --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SYPHRETT: -- by this State.

THE COURT: Let me finish.

MR. SYPHRETT: I believe there's been official misconduct by Judge Fitzpatrick. I didn't threaten --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SYPHRETT: -- her life.

THE COURT: Once --

MR. SYPHRETT: And I sent you an e-mail -- I sent you the faxes today with the e-mail she's claiming

Colloquy

3

I sent.

THE COURT: I have the e-mails. But let me explain this to you.

MR. SYPHRETT: Yeah.

THE COURT: Let me explain this to you.

Okay.

MR. SYPHRETT: She's been retaliating against me for years, so about had it.

THE COURT: I'm going to get a SA completed so that you -- we can see if you qualify for the services of the public defender. If you are, one will be represented -- assigned to represent you. You will also have the option of hiring your own private attorney, as well. But in the meantime, since these are serious charges having somebody representing you --

MR. SYPHRETT: Your Honor, I already posted bail for this.

THE COURT: One thing. In addition to this no contact order, there's also an order that -- with regards to your competency, ability to proceed, fitness with regards to dangerousness to self and others.

There's an --

MR. SYPHRETT: But --

THE COURT: -- evaluation that we need to --

MR. SYPHRETT: -- where does that notice come

Colloquy

4

from?

THE COURT: -- complete.

MR. SYPHRETT: That expert report was for Robin Bloom (phonetic).

THE COURT: I'm telling you right now that the --

MR. SYPHRETT: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- that this is what's going to happen. So --

MR. SYPHRETT: Okay.

THE COURT: -- you're being remanded for this -- that --

MR. SYPHRETT: I'm not Robin Bloom, sir.

THE COURT: No, I know you're not.

MR. SYPHRETT: Derek Syphrett.

THE COURT: No, I know.

MR. SYPHRETT: Yeah. But the order got issued on an affidavit from Robin Bloom.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, this --

MR. SYPHRETT: June 4th.

THE COURT: -- no, this -- I don't know what happened in the Family Court matter or in the civil court matter, if there's anything, but in this criminal case, based on the criminal code, I have reason to believe that there's an issue with regards to your --

Colloquy

5

1 MR. SYPHRETT: I challenge that.
 2 THE COURT: -- fitness to proceed --
 3 MR. SYPHRETT: I filed pro se --
 4 THE COURT: You will.
 5 MR. SYPHRETT: -- I filed for two years pro
 6 se --
 7 THE COURT: You will.
 8 MR. SYPHRETT: Never had -- never had a court
 9 motion dismissed for being frivolous or incoherent.
 10 THE COURT: Well, that's --
 11 MR. SYPHRETT: There's no way under Kelsey v.
 12 Kelsey or Kelsey v. Cohen, can you call me legally
 13 incompetent. After two and a half years of --
 14 THE COURT: I'm not.
 15 MR. SYPHRETT: -- filing my own motions.
 16 After I was declared incompetent --
 17 THE COURT: I'm not --
 18 MR. SYPHRETT: -- I went to Robbinsville,
 19 filed my own motion, had Judge Hoffman disqualified
 20 from the case on my own motion, over his objection.
 21 I'm --
 22 THE COURT: All right.
 23 MR. SYPHRETT: -- certainly legally
 24 competent.
 25 THE COURT: I'm not calling you legally

Colloquy

1 incompetent. I'm telling you that I have reason to
 2 believe that there's an issue with regards to your
 3 competent (sic) and we need to explore that because we
 4 --
 5 MR. SYPHRETT: Well, I think the facts --
 6 THE COURT: -- before we can do --
 7 MR. SYPHRETT: -- the facts, the facts will
 8 betray the truth in there.
 9 THE COURT: Excellent. Then you won't mind
 10 that I'm going to remand you for a 30-day evaluation --
 11 MR. SYPHRETT: I do mind.
 12 THE COURT: -- based on my --
 13 MR. SYPHRETT: I object to it. I object to
 14 it. On what --
 15 THE COURT: Okay.
 16 MR. SYPHRETT: -- grounds? What facts have
 17 you found?
 18 THE COURT: objection noted.
 19 MR. SYPHRETT: What expert have you -- have
 20 -- what expert have you consulted with, Your Honor?
 21 THE COURT: At a --
 22 MR. SYPHRETT: I object.
 23 THE COURT: At a minimum, based on how you're
 24 presenting your -- representing yourself in court right
 25 now.

Colloquy

1 MR. SYPHRETT: Well, Your Honor, I have every

2 THE COURT: So --

3 MR. SYPHRETT: -- right to be angry.

4 THE COURT: -- under two, four --

5 MR. SYPHRETT: I disagree with what --

6 THE COURT: -- 2C:4-5 --

7 MR. SYPHRETT: -- you're doing. That's --

8 THE COURT: -- remanded for that.

9 MR. SYPHRETT: -- called -- that's

10 socially --

11 THE COURT: I'll put an order together --

12 MR. SYPHRETT: -- normal, sir.

13 THE COURT: I'll put an order together with

14 regards to a referral to Ann Klein to -- for competency

15 and fitness to proceed, dangerousness to self and

16 MR. SYPHRETT: How have I --

17 THE COURT: -- what I will do is I'll

18 reschedule --

19 MR. SYPHRETT: How have I demonstrated --

20 THE COURT: -- this case --

21 MR. SYPHRETT: -- a danger to others --

22 THE COURT: -- before me --

23 MR. SYPHRETT: -- or self, sir?

Colloquy

8

Colloquy

9

1 THE COURT: -- for --

2 MR. SYPHRETT: I mean I -- these are

3 allegations. None of these are facts.

4 THE COURT: What cases? What day would it be

5 on? You're coming back here once the SA is completed

6 --

7 MR. SYPHRETT: And I'm sorry. I don't

8 normally talk over judges, but you're moving forward in

9 a manner that seems to me to be without due process.

10 THE COURT: September 23rd is a date that I'd

11 like to bring this back for a review. Hopefully we'll

12 have -- see where we are with regards to the

13 evaluation and with regards to representation in this

14 particular case, so. All right. We'll give you notice

15 of all of that, Mr. SypHrett. See you on the 23rd.

16 MR. SYPHRETT: Well, where am I going now?

17 THE COURT: To the Mercer County Correctional

18 Center for a transport over to Ann Klein, once they

19 have a bed available to begin --

20 MR. SYPHRETT: On what fact-finding, Your

21 Honor? On what fact finding?

22 THE COURT: See you on the 23rd.

23 MR. SYPHRETT: No, no, please. Your Honor,

24 may I speak?

25 THE COURT: Not now.

MR. SYPHRETT: You did no fact-finding, sir.
(Court/staff conversation)

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, CINDY POST, the assigned transcriber, do hereby certify the foregoing transcript of proceedings on compact disk, playback number from 2:05:20 to 2:13:19, is prepared in full compliance with the current Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings and is a true and accurate compressed transcript of the proceedings as recorded, and to the best of my ability.

/s/ Cindy Post
CINDY POST

Approved by:

/s/ Carole Ritardi
CAROLE RITARDI
AOC #228

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. DATE: November 4, 2013

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Exhibit D-2

Description:

① Superior Court order in Prosecutors case #

13-2502. It is fraudulent in that:

no public Defender Represented me on

8/20/2013 and/or no prosecutor had been

Assigned

Complaint of Injustice Prepared on the

① Defendant, the Public, the Court, and the People
of New Jersey:

The Court never gained personal Jurisdiction

of the Attorney's listed or at least not

the Public Defender with regard to this case.

② The Defendant was summoned to appear 8/20/2013

by warrant issued 8/16/2013, but the Defendant

was incarcerated for 2 hours & made to appear

on 8/19/2013 without legal notice, counsel, or prosecutor

COPY

PREPARED BY THE COURT

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

vs.

DEREK C. SYPHRETT,

Defendant

: SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
: MERCER COUNTY
: LAW DIVISION - CRIMINAL PART
: INDICTMENT NUMBER:
: PROSECUTOR FILE NUMBER: 13-2502
: ORDER FOR A PSYCHIATRIC OR PSYCHOLOGICAL
: EXAMINATION OF DEFENDANT'S FITNESS TO
: PROCEED AND OF DEFENDANT'S DANGEROUSNESS
: TO SELF, OTHERS OR PROPERTY AS A RESULT OF
: MENTAL ILLNESS

THIS COURT, having found good cause to question the Defendant's fitness to proceed to trial, and the Defendant, Derek C. Syphrett, having been charged with Terroristic Threats, a violation of N.J.S.A. 2C:12-3B;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED on this 20th day of August, 2013,

1. Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C:4-5(a)(2), the defendant is to be examined by a qualified psychiatrist or licensed psychologist designated by the Commissioner of the Department of Human Services in order to determine whether hospitalization is clinically necessary to perform an examination for fitness to proceed and defendant's dangerousness to self, others or property as a result of mental illness. If the defendant is an inmate, the jail or prison staff where the defendant is incarcerated shall permit such examination at the jail or prison and shall provide access to all relevant medical psychiatrist or psychological reports and records in their possession.
2. If a qualified psychiatrist or licensed psychologist determines that hospitalization is unnecessary to perform the examination, the qualified psychiatrist or licensed psychologist shall perform the examination at the jail or prison.

3. If a qualified psychiatrist or licensed psychologist determines that hospitalization is necessary to perform the examination, then the defendant shall be committed to the custody of Commissioner of Human Services for placement for that purpose and for consensual treatment for any psychiatric condition, when professionally determined to be clinically appropriate, for a period not exceeding thirty (30) days.

4. The state psychiatric hospital where the defendant is placed for the examination will provide this Court and all counsel with a proposed date to transfer the defendant back to jail or prison should it be found that the defendant is not dangerous to self, others or property as a result of mental illness, and competent to stand trial.

5. The Prosecutor's Office shall forward all discoverable materials, including the defendant's prior record, if any, and the reasons the Court is considering commitment, to this Judge's team leader for submission to the qualified psychiatrist or licensed psychologist designated by the Commissioner to conduct the examination.


6. The Defendant shall not be administratively discharged by the state psychiatric hospital except to the detaining authority without further Order of the Court.

7. The finding of the qualified psychiatrist or licensed psychologist conducting the examination shall be submitted in a written report to this Court and shall include:

- (a) A description of the nature of the examination;
- (b) A diagnosis of the mental condition of the Defendant;
- (c) An opinion as to the Defendant's capacity to understand the proceeding against him and to assist in his own defense;
- (d) An opinion as to the defendant's present competence to proceed to trial;
- (e) An opinion as to whether the Defendant's mental condition is such that he poses a present danger either to himself or to other persons or to property upon his release into the general community; and

(f) An opinion as to whether the Defendant will regain legal competency within the foreseeable future if the Defendant is found to be presently incompetent to proceed to trial.

Honorable Pedro J. Jimenez, Jr., J.S.C.
Superior Court of New Jersey



FOR THE DEFENDANT:

Jenna Casper, Esq.
Office of the Public Defender
210 South Broad Street, 2nd Floor
Trenton, New Jersey 08625
Telephone: (609) 292-4081
Facsimile: (609) 777-0892

FOR THE STATE:

Amy Devenny, Esq.
Office of the Prosecutor
209 S. Broad Street, 3rd Floor
Trenton, New Jersey 08650
Telephone: (609) 989-6350
Facsimile: (609) 989-0161

Exhibit D-3

Description of Exhibit D-3:

- a) This is an "arrest record", an exact copy of the "arrest record" given to me on 8/14/2014 for only the arrest executed at about 1:50pm on 8/19/2014

- b) The badge # of the arresting officer is false/fraudulent. Officer/badge # 68 Did not execute the arrest, nor was he present at the place & time of the arrest.

- c) The Sheriff's Office of Mercer County has for over 1-year refused to explain or provide information about the actual arresting officers or the circumstances, or lawful authority for this arrest on 8/19/2014

MERCER COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

PRISONER PROPERTY RECEIPT

1	Case No.								
---	----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

DATE 8-19-15 TIME 1350
 SS#
 NAME Dore Syphrit
 CHARGE
 ARRESTING OFFICER (S) 68
 TRANSPORTING OFFICER (S) 67

NOTICE

The cell you occupy is inspected for damage prior to your entry and immediately after your release. Any person who maliciously destroys, defaces, damages, or injures county property while in custody will be held responsible to reimburse the County of Mercer for all damages done, and criminally charged pursuant to the provisions of NJSA 2C:17-3.

Describe Property Seized

Watch	_____	Ring (s)	_____
Keys	_____	Other Jewelry	_____
Belt	_____	Currency	\$100.00
Glasses	_____	Coins	_____
Comb	_____	Credit Cards	_____
Wallet	_____	Purse	_____
_____	_____	Personal Papers	_____
OTHER	_____	_____	_____

Prisoner's Signature
 Officer's Signature

Property Released to

59-14118

Exhibit D-4

Proof I Lawfully had bail posted
for warrant: W2013-002897 on

8/18/2013 prior to the 8/19/2013
arrest described in Exhibit D-3

Co Versheet
for
Papers Sent to
Courts & Agencies

Derek Syphrett
252 Fountain Ln.
Lawrence Township NJ, 08542

Derek Syphrett, Esq.
Attorney; Citizen of New Jersey; Real Party of Interest; Witness-of-Fact

**DEMANDS IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE PROPOUNDED UPON THE
FOLLOWING AGENCIES AS (1) WRIT OF MANDAMUS & (2) PETITION
FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCE, PURSUANT NEW JERSEY STATE
CONSTITUTION, 1947**
(October 13, 2014)

To: JUDGE COVERT, JUDGE RONALD E. BOOKBINDER,
Criminal Division Clerk, Family Division Clerk, Susan Fortino,
John Tomasello, Judge John Call, Sharyn Sherman, Mr.
Boykins, Law Clerks for the Judges named herein.
Superior Court of New Jersey (Burlington County)
49 Rancocas Rd
Mount Holly, NJ 08060

Third Party Persons
Delivering
Written Legal Notice of
Derek Syphrett
No Return Fax
Phone: 609-936-0025
Email:
dsyphrett@gmail.com

JUDGE GERALD COUNCIL, JUDGE PEDRO JIMINEZ, JUDGE
JACOBSON

Superior Court of New Jersey (Mercer County)
400 Warren Street
Trenton, New Jersey 08609
JUDGE GLENN GRANT, J.A.D., ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF
THE COURTS, MERYL NADLER, ESQ.
P.O. Box 037
Trenton New Jersey, 08625-0037

CHIEF JUSTICE STUART RABNER, MICHELLE, M. SMITH,
SUPREME COURT, EN BANC
25 Market Street
Trenton, New Jersey 08609
MERCER COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE; MERCER COUNTY
SHERIFF'S OFFICE, MERCER COUNTY CORRECTIONS CENTER,
BURLINGTON COUNTY CORRECTIONS FACILITY, SUPERIOR
COURT PROBATION DEPARTMENT; MERCER COUNTY OFFICE
OF COUNSEL; MERCER COUNTY FREEHOLDERS; BURLINGTON
COUNTY FREEHOLDER

SENT VIA U.S. POSTAL MAIL TO VINCIAGE 3, ET AL & OTHERS

Re: PRE: FAILURE TO PROPERLY ADMINISTRATE THE PROCESS,
PROCEDURES, AND PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT THE BINDING LAWS
OF THIS JURISDICTION IN: PROSECUTOR'S CASE NUMBER: 13-2502
CC: ALL PARTIES INCLUDED
IN THE FOLLOWING
PAPERS.

&

THIS DEMAND FOR A FULL EXPLANATION AND FULL
ADMINISTRATIVE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS WITH REGARD
TO THE NON-JUDICIAL ACTS OF JUDGE PEDRO JIMINEZ.

NOTICE: This message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the designated recipient(s) named above. If
you are not the intended recipient of this message you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying
of this message is strictly prohibited

in a physical mail/
Fedex service

October 13, 2014

ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS:

1. TRANSCRIPTS FROM PROSECUTOR'S CASE #13-2502, DATED 8/19/2013
 2. PUTATIVE COURT ORDER (A VOID) IN PROSECUTOR'S CASE #13-2502
- (IMPORTANT NOTATION: collectively these documents are proof of unlawful acts propounded by Pedro Jimenez, which include fraud and acts exceeding authority of any lawful American Court)

COMMENTS:

THE LETTER AND THE CITED AUTHORITIES, EVIDENCE, AND FACTS SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES... THE LEGAL QUESTION NOW IS WILL YOU DO THE SAME... FINALLY SPEAK UP AND PUT AN END TO THIS HORRIFIC MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE.

I AM IN NO WAY BEING UNREASONABLE, OR ACTING BEYOND MY RIGHTS OR LEGAL CAPACITIES, WHICH ARE IN POINT OF LAW AND FACT, NEARLY AS EXPANSIVE AS THE LAW ITSELF.

THESE DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE CONTINUED LIBERALLY PURSUANT HAINES V. KERNER, U.S. SUPREME COURT 1972, AND ITS BINDING PROGENY WITHIN THIS JURISDICTION, BY OPERATION OF THE COMMON LAW!

VERY TRULY,


Derek C. Sypniet, Esq.

Attorney, and many other legal persons (see following papers).

Americans with Disabilities Act - Request

LASTLY: YOU, ALL OR

I IN PART HAVE CONTRIBUTED

TO MY MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS

POST TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER

& I reasonably REQUEST you provide

a written Response Explaining the circumstances herein, to accommodate & decrease my PTSD. Please do this pursuant to the ADA.